

FILED
Clerk
District Court

AUG 29 2008

For The Northern Mariana Islands
By _____
(Deputy Clerk)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS

HONORIO G. CAMBRONERO,

Plaintiff

v.

RJCL CORPORATION, doing
business as RNV CONSTRUCTION
and RUEL R. VILLACRUSIS,

Defendants

Civil No. 08-0033

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Local Rule 16.2CJ,
the parties shall be present at the Case Management Conference, set for **Friday,**
September 19, 2008, at 9:00 a.m.

The parties shall be prepared to discuss:

- (a) Service of process on parties not yet served;
- (b) Jurisdiction and venue;
- (c) Track assignment;
- (d) Anticipated motions;
- (e) Anticipated or remaining discovery, including (1) limitation on discovery,

- (2) and provisions for disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information; and, (3) any agreements the parties reach for asserting claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material after production;
- (f) Further proceedings, including setting dates for discovery cut-off, pretrial and trial;
- (g) Appropriateness of special procedures such as consolidation of actions for discovery or pretrial, reference to a master or to arbitration, or to the Judicial Panel on Multi-district Litigation, or application of the Manual for Complex Litigation;
- (h) Modifications of the standard pretrial procedures specified by this Plan on account of the relative simplicity or complexity of the action or proceeding;
- (i) Settlement prospects;
- (j) Any other matter which may be conducive to the just, efficient, and economical determination of the proceedings, including the definition or limitation of issues; and,
- (k) Setting of dates for:
 1. Joinder of all parties,
 2. Motions to amend,
 3. Discovery cut-off,
 4. Status Conferences,
 5. Discovery motion hearing date,
 6. Dispositive motion cut-off,
 7. Dispositive motion hearing date,
 8. Settlement conference,
 9. Joint pretrial order,
 10. Final pretrial order,
 11. Trial.

The Court recommends that this case be assigned to the **Standard** track as defined by Local Rule 16.2CJ.c.

The parties are reminded that the dates chosen at the conference will not be susceptible to subsequent easy change. Fed.R.Civ.P. 16(b)(6) provides in part that a case management scheduling order “shall not be modified except upon a showing of

1 good cause and by leave of the district judge[.]” To establish good cause, a party
2 must generally show that even with the exercise of due diligence it cannot meet the
3 order’s timetable. *See e.g. Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609
4 (9th Cir. 1992). The liberal amendment policy of Fed.R.Civ.P. 15 no longer obtains
5 once the case management scheduling order has been entered. *See Coleman v.*
6 *Quaker Oats Co.*, 232 F.3d 1271, 1294 (9th Cir. 2000).
7

8
9 DATED this 29th day of August, 2008.
10

11
12
13 
14 ALEX R. MUNSON
15 Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26